arXiv:1701.07551v1 [math.NT] 26 Jan 2017

An Explicit Shimura Tower of Function Fields over a Number Field: An Application of Takeuchi's List

Takehiro HASEGAWA*†
Shiga University, Otsu, Shiga 520-0862, Japan

January 27, 2017

Abstract

Elkies [E1] proposed a procedure for constructing explicit towers of curves, and gave two towers of Shimura curves as relevant examples. In this paper, we present a new explicit tower of Shimura curves constructed by using this procedure.

Keywords: Function field; Tower of function fields; Belyi map

MSC 2010: Primary 11R58; secondary 11G32, 14H05, 14H57

1 Introduction

According to a result obtained by Tsfasman, Vladut and Zink, excellent Goppa codes can be obtained from modular towers (more specifically, towers of modular curves) ([TVZ]). However, the construction of such codes requires explicit modular towers. In 1998, Elkies introduced a procedure for defining such towers (see Proposition in [E1]), and thereby constructed several classical (elliptic) modular towers, two Shimura towers and some Drinfeld modular towers ([E1, E3]). In 2012, Hasegawa, Inuzuka and Suzuki presented a number of classical modular towers constructed by the same procedure ([HIS, H]), and Garcia, Stichtenoth, Bassa and Beelen recently constructed several Drinfeld modular towers ([BBGS, BB]). On the other hand, although Elkies suggested new Shimura towers, he did not explicitly construct any (see Section 5 in [E2]). To the author's knowledge, only two explicit Shimura towers have been constructed thus far.

Let K be a totally real number field, and let A be a quaternion algebra over K, namely, a central simple algebra over K of dimension 4. Throughout this paper, we assume that A is ramified at all but one of the infinite places of K. Let $D(A)$ denote a discriminant of A, that is, the product of all finite places $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that A is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. For an ideal I of K coprime to $D(A)$, Shimura curves $\mathcal{X}_0(I)$ analogous to classical modular curves $X_0(N)$ are well defined (see Section 2 below).

Note that the prime number $\ell = 3$ is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$. Our main theorem is as follows.

Main theorem. *Let K be the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, which is totally real, and let $\mathfrak{p}_3$ denote the place of K lying above 3. Then, the Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ is rational, that is, the genus of $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ is equal to 0. For each natural number $n > 1$, the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ is defined by $n-1$ coordinates $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ which are related by the $n-2$ equations*

$$\left(-2\frac{x_{j+1}-1}{x_{j+1}+2}\right)^3 = 2\frac{(5-3\sqrt{3})x_j^3+4}{x_j^3-2(5-3\sqrt{3})} \qquad (j=1,2,\ldots,n-2), \tag{1}$$

or, equivalently, the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ is isomorphic to the locus of $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$ satisfying the above equations (1). In other words, the Shimura tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\}_{n>1}$ is defined recursively by the affine equation

$$\left(-2\frac{y-1}{y+2}\right)^3 = 2\frac{(5-3\sqrt{3})x^3+4}{x^3-2(5-3\sqrt{3})}.$$

*The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K17508
†thasegawa3141592@yahoo.co.jp

Shimura curves $X_0(I)$ always have Atkin-Lehner involutions ω_I. Also, unlike classical modular curves, Shimura curves have no cusps. Thus, although the covering maps between Shimura curves cannot be computed by using q-expansions, they can be determined from their ramification behavior. In fact, Elkies illustrated this with two examples (see Third variation in [E1], and also see Section 2). In this paper, we show our main theorem based on the method devised by Elkies (see Section 3).

Beelen, Garcia and Stichtenoth derived a normal form for equations of recursive Kummer towers of function fields ([BGS]). In their notation, the equation of our main theorem is written as

$$Y^3 = \frac{-8(5-3\sqrt{3})(X+1)^3 + 4(X-2)^3}{4(X+1)^3 + (5-3\sqrt{3})(X-2)^3},$$

where the variables X, Y are defined by $X = (2x-2)/(x+2)$, $Y = (2y-2)/(y+2)$.

Let $\ell \neq 2, 3$ be a prime number, and let $\mathfrak{p}_\ell$ denote a place of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ lying above ℓ. Since the coefficients of the above equation are in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, the modulo $\mathfrak{p}_\ell$ reduction of our tower can be defined, and moreover, the reduction is asymptotically optimal over an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_\ell = \mathbb{Z}/(\ell)$.

Wulftange studied one of the (modified) Shimura towers given by Elkies and proved that this tower is optimal over $\mathbb{F}_{2^6}$ (see Section 4 in [W]). Moreover, Brander attempted to construct a Goppa code from the same tower ([B]). In this regard, a Goppa code can be defined by using the tower in our main theorem.

Let I be an ideal of K coprime to $D(A)$. For an Atkin-Lehner involution ω_I and a map $j\colon X_0(I) \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ (e.g., see Main theorem I (3.2) in [Smr]), the image of a morphism

$$X_0(I) \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad z \mapsto (j(z), j(\omega_I(z)))$$

is a closed subvariety of dimension 1, and in the open affine set $(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \setminus \{\infty\}$, this variety is described by a modular polynomial $\Phi_I(x, y)$. In 1998, Elkies computed a polynomial $\Phi_I(x, y)$ for $N(I) = 3, 8, 9$ ([E1, E3]), where N denotes the norm with respect to $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and furthermore, in 2005, Voight determined a polynomial $\Phi_I(x, y)$ for $N(I) = 17$ ([V1]). Polynomials $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(x, y)$ and $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_3^2}(x, y)$ for our curves can be computed by using our theorem.

This paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, two examples of explicit Shimura towers defined by Elkies in [E1] are introduced. In Section 3, a new explicit Shimura tower is given.

2 Explicit Shimura towers proposed by Elkies

In this section, we introduce two examples by Elkies (see Third variation in [E1]). The reason revisiting the examples of Elkies in this section is as follows: In [E1], Elkies left a detailed computational process for classical modular towers (see Example, First and Second variations in [E1]), but he omitted the most of a computational process for Shimura towers. Therefore, an important relationship between Shimura towers and arithmetic groups remains unclear. Hence, we shall write a detailed computational process for Shimura towers, and we reveal a relationship between Shimura towers and arithmetic groups (see Remark in this section). Moreover, this section is also a recall of the procedure by Elkies for constructing explicit Shimura towers in the next section.

Takeuchi gave a complete list of arithmetic triangle groups Δ of signature $(0; e_1, e_2, e_3)$ (see Table (1) in [T]). In fact, there exist 85 such groups. In this and the next sections, we will use three of these groups.

We consider the first example given by Elkies. Let K be the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, which is totally real. The prime numbers $\ell = 3, 2$ are totally ramified in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_3$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_2$) denote the place of K lying above 3 (resp. 2), that is, $\mathfrak{p}_3 = (\sqrt{3})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (5-3\sqrt{3})$). Also, let Δ be the arithmetic triangle group of signature $(0; 2, 4, 12)$, and let A be the quaternion algebra associated with Δ, which is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}_3$ and at exactly one infinite place. Since the discriminant $D(A)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{p}_3$, the

Shimura curves $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)$ are defined. Elkies subsequently constructs the explicit Shimura tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)\}_{n>1}$ as follows:

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the upper half-plane. The Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}(1) = \Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ is rational. In fact,

$$2g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - 2 = \mathrm{Area}(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{e_j}\right) = \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{11}{12}\right) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) = 0$$

([Smr], and also see p.207 in [T]). We can choose a coordinate J on $\mathcal{X}(1)$ which takes the values $1, 0, \infty$ at the elliptic points P_2, P_4, P_{12} of order $2, 4, 12$, respectively, that is, $J(P_2) = 1$, $J(P_4) = 0$, and $J(P_{12}) = \infty$.

In general, for each number n, the Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)$ can be identified with the set

$$\left\{ (P, Q) \in \mathcal{X}(1) \times \mathcal{X}(1) \,\middle|\, \text{a point } P \text{ is } \mathfrak{p}_2^n\text{-isogenous to a point } Q \right\}$$

(e.g., [E1]), and this curve always has the Atkin-Lehner involution $\omega^{(n)}\colon (P, Q) \longleftrightarrow (Q, P)$. The covering map $\pi\colon \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ is defined by the projection $\pi((P, Q)) = P$, and its degree equals

$$N(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_2^n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})}\right) = N(\mathfrak{p}_2)^{n-1}(N(\mathfrak{p}_2) + 1) = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1},$$

where N denotes the norm with respect to $K/\mathbb{Q}$. The map π is branched only above the elliptic points P_2, P_4, P_{12} of $\mathcal{X}(1)$ and always unramified above the other points. The ramification index at a point (P, Q) of $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)$ is equal to the denominator of the irreducible fraction

$$\mathrm{ord}(Q)/\mathrm{ord}(P),$$

where ord represents the order of a point, and the order of a non-elliptic point is equal to 1.

We can determine the ramification of the first map $\pi\colon \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ of the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ as follows: The point P_{12} is totally ramified, and the point lying above P_{12} is (P_{12}, P_4). The points lying above P_4 (resp. P_2) are $(P_4, P_2), (P_4, P_{12})$ (resp. $(P_2, P_4), (P_2, *)$), and thus the ramification indices are equal to $2, 1$ (resp. $1, 2$), respectively. Here, $*$ denotes a non-elliptic point. Then, the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ must interchange the points $(P_4, P_{12}), (P_{12}, P_4)$ and the points $(P_2, P_4), (P_4, P_{12})$, respectively. Since the Hurwitz genus formula yields

$$2g(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2)) - 2 = 3(-2) + (3-1) + (2-1) + (2-1) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2)) = 0,$$

the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ is again rational, and J is a polynomial of degree 3 with a triple pole, such that J and $J - 1$ have double zeros. Then, we can choose a rational coordinate t on $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ such that

$$J = t(4t - 3)^2 \qquad \left(\text{so} \quad J - 1 = (t-1)(4t-1)^2\right),$$

and thus $\omega^{(1)}$ interchanges the points $t = 0, t = \infty$ and the points $t = 1, t = 3/4$, respectively. Hence,

$$\omega^{(1)}(t) = \frac{3}{4t}. \tag{2}$$



Next, we can determine the ramification of the second map $\pi\colon \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2) \to \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ of the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2)$ as follows: The point P_{12} is totally ramified, and the point lying above P_{12} is (P_{12}, P_2). The points lying above P_4 (resp. P_2) are $(P_4, *), (P_4, P_4), (P_4, P_4)$ (resp. $(P_2, P_{12}), (P_2, P_2), (P_2, *), (P_2, *)$), and thus the ramification indices are equal to $4, 1, 1$ (resp. $1, 1, 2, 2$), respectively. Then, the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points $(P_4, P_4), (P_4, P_4)$ and the points

$(P_2, P_{12}), (P_{12}, P_2)$, respectively, and fixes the point (P_2, P_2). By the Hurwitz genus formula, the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2)$ is rational. Thus, we can choose a rational coordinate x on $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2)$ such that

$$t = \frac{x^2+3}{4} \qquad \left(\text{and} \quad J = \frac{x^4(x^2+3)}{4}\right), \tag{3}$$

and the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points $x = \sqrt{-3}, x = -\sqrt{-3}$ and the points $x = 1, x = \infty$, respectively, and fixes the point $x = -1$. Hence,

$$\omega^{(2)}(x) = \frac{x+3}{x-1}. \tag{4}$$



We now have all the information necessary to determine the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)$. It follows from (2) (3) (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot \omega^{(1)}(t) = \frac{3}{4} \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{x^2+3}{4} \cdot \omega^{(1)}\left(\frac{x^2+3}{4}\right) = \frac{3}{4} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad (x^2+3)\left(\omega^{(2)}(y)^2+3\right) = 12 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (x^2+3)\left(\left(\frac{y+3}{y-1}\right)^2+3\right) = 12, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)$ is defined by $n-1$ coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ satisfying the $n-2$ relations

$$(x_j^2+3)(z_{j+1}^2+3) = 12 \qquad (j = 1, \ldots, n-2), \qquad z_j := (x_j+3)/(x_j-1).$$

Since this tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^n)\}_{n>1}$ has cyclic steps, and since it become unramified after a finite number of steps, this is dominated by a 2-class field tower of the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^5)$ over any finite field of odd characteristic.

Next, we consider the other example given by Elkies. Let K be the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\cos\frac{2}{18}\pi)$, which is totally real, and the prime number $\ell = 3$ is totally ramified in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Also, let $\mathfrak{p}_3$ denote the place of K lying above 3. Furthermore, let Δ be the arithmetic triangle group of signature $(0; 2, 3, 9)$, and let A be the quaternion algebra associated with Δ, which is ramified at exactly two infinite places. Since A is not ramified at all finite places of K, the discriminant $D(A)$ is equal to 1, and thus the Shimura curves $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ are defined. Elkies constructs the explicit Shimura tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\}_{n>1}$ as follows:

The Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}(1) = \Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ is rational. In fact,

$$2g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - 2 = \mathrm{Area}(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{e_j}\right) = \frac{1}{18} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{9}\right) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) = 0$$

([Smz], and also see p.207 in [T]). We can choose a coordinate J on $\mathcal{X}(1)$ which takes the values $1, 0, \infty$ at the elliptic points P_2, P_3, P_9 of order $2, 3, 9$, respectively. In other words, $J(P_2) = 1$, $J(P_3) = 0$, and $J(P_9) = \infty$. For each natural number n, the Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ can be defined, and this curve always has the Atkin-Lehner involution $\omega^{(n)}$. The degree of the map $\pi\colon \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ equals

$$N(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\mid\mathfrak{p}_3^n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})}\right) = N(\mathfrak{p}_3)^{n-1}(N(\mathfrak{p}_3)+1) = 4 \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

We can determine the ramification of the map $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3) \to X(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ as follows: Note that this map is branched only above P_2, P_3, P_9 and unramified above the other points. The points lying above P_9 are $(P_9, P_9), (P_9, P_3)$, and thus the ramification indices are equal to $1, 3$, respectively. Also, the points lying above P_3 (resp. P_2) are $(P_3, P_9), (P_3, *)$ (resp. $(P_2, *), (P_2, *)$), and thus the ramification indices are equal to $1, 3$ (resp. $2, 2$), respectively. Then, the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ must interchange the points $(P_3, P_9), (P_9, P_3)$ and fix the point (P_9, P_9). Since, from the Hurwitz genus formula

$$2g(X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)) - 2 = 4(-2) + (3-1) + (3-1) + (2-1) + (2-1) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)) = 0,$$

the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ is again rational. Then, we can choose a rational coordinate t on $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ such that

$$J = -\frac{(t-1)^3(9t-1)}{64t^3} \qquad \left(\text{so} \quad J - 1 = -\frac{(3t^2+6t-1)^2}{64t^3}\right),$$

and the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ interchanges the points $t = 1, t = 0$ while fixing the point $t = \infty$. Hence,

$$\omega^{(1)}(t) = 1 - t. \tag{5}$$



Next, the ramification of the map $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2) \to X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3) \to X(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ can be determined as follows: The points lying above P_9 are $(P_9, P_3), (P_9, *)$, and the ramification indices are $3, 9$, respectively. The points lying above P_3 (resp. P_2) are $(P_3, P_9), (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3), (P_3, *), (P_3, *), (P_3, *)$ (resp. $(P_2, *), (P_2, *), (P_2, *), (P_2, *), (P_2, *), (P_2, *)$), and therefore the ramification indices equal $1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3$ (resp. $2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2$), respectively. Then, the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points $(P_3, P_9), (P_9, P_3)$ and the points $(P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3)$, respectively. By the Hurwitz genus formula, the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ is again rational. Thus, we can choose a rational coordinate x on $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2)$ such that

$$t = x^3 \qquad \left(\text{and} \quad J = -\frac{(x^3-1)^3(9x^3-1)}{64x^9}\right), \tag{6}$$

and the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points $x = 1, x = \infty$ and the points $x = \zeta_3, x = \zeta_3^2$, respectively. Here, ζ_3 is a cube of unity. Hence, the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\omega^{(2)}(x) = \frac{x+2}{x-1}. \tag{7}$$



We now have all the information necessary to determine the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$. It follows from (5) (6) (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} t + \omega^{(1)}(t) = 1 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad x^3 + \omega^{(1)}(x^3) = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad x^3 + \omega^{(2)}(y)^3 = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x^3 + \left(\frac{y+2}{y-1}\right)^3 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ is defined by $n-1$ coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ satisfying the $n-2$ relations

$$x_j^3 + z_{j+1}^3 = 1 \qquad (j = 1, \ldots, n-2), \qquad z_j := (x_j + 2)/(x_j - 1).$$

Since this tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\}_{n>1}$ has cyclic steps, and since it become unramified after finitely many steps, this is dominated by a 3-class field tower of the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^4)$.

Remark. It follows from Table (1) in [T] that the Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ corresponds to the triangle group Δ of signature $(0;3,3,9)$, that is, $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3) = \Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}$. In fact, Δ has exactly three elliptic points (P_9, P_3), (P_3, P_9), (P_9, P_9) of order $3, 3, 9$, respectively. Voight studied Shimura curves of genus at most 2 ([V2]). It follows from Table 4.3 in [V2] that the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ corresponds to a group Γ of signature $(0;3,3,3,3)$, and the elliptic curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^3)$ corresponds to a group of signature $(1;3,3,3)$. In fact, Γ has exactly four elliptic points (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_9), (P_9, P_9) of order $3, 3, 3, 3$, respectively.

3 A new explicit Shimura tower

In Section 2, we introduced in detail two examples given by Elkies. In this section, using the method proposed by Elkies, we construct a new Shimura tower (see Main theorem in Section 1).

Let K be the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. The prime numbers $\ell = 3, 2$ are totally ramified in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_3$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_2$) denote the place of K lying above 3 (resp. 2). Also, let Δ be the arithmetic triangle group of signature $(0;3,3,6)$, and let A be the quaternion algebra associated with Δ, which is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}_2$ and at exactly one infinite place. Since the discriminant $D(A)$ equals $\mathfrak{p}_2$, the Shimura curves $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ are defined, and then the Shimura tower $\{\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\}_{n>1}$ is constructed as follows:

The Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}(1) = \Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ is rational. In fact,

$$2g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - 2 = \mathrm{Area}(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{e_j}\right) = \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6}\right) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(\Delta\backslash\mathfrak{H}) = 0$$

([Smz], and also see p.207 in [T]). We can choose a coordinate J on $\mathcal{X}(1)$ which takes the values $1, 0, \infty$ at the elliptic points P_3, P_3', P_6 of order $3, 3, 6$, respectively. Specifically, $J(P_3) = 1$, $J(P_3') = 0$, and $J(P_6) = \infty$. For each natural number n, the Shimura curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ can be defined, and this curve has the Atkin-Lehner involution $\omega^{(n)}$. The degree of the map $\pi\colon \mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ is equal to

$$N(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\mid\mathfrak{p}_3^n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})}\right) = N(\mathfrak{p}_3)^{n-1}(N(\mathfrak{p}_3) + 1) = 4\cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

We can determine the ramification of $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ as follows: Note that this map is branched only above P_3, P_3', P_6 and unramified above the other points. The points lying above P_6 are (P_6, P_3'), (P_6, P_3), and thus the ramification indices are equal to $2, 2$, respectively. The points lying above P_3' (resp. P_3) are $(P_3', P_6), (P_3', *)$ (resp. $(P_3, P_6), (P_3, *)$), and therefore the ramification indices are equal to $1, 3$ (resp. $1, 3$), respectively. Then, the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ interchanges the points $(P_3', P_6), (P_6, P_3')$ and the points $(P_3, P_6), (P_6, P_3)$, respectively. Since by the Hurwitz genus formula

$$2g(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)) - 2 = 4(-2) + (2-1) + (2-1) + (3-1) + (3-1) = -2, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad g(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)) = 0,$$

the curve $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ is also rational. Then, we can choose a rational coordinate t on $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ such that

$$J = \frac{4(2t+1)^3}{(t^2+10t-2)^2} \qquad \left(\text{so} \quad J - 1 = -\frac{t(t-4)^3}{(t^2+10t-2)^2}\right),$$

and the involution $\omega^{(1)}$ interchanges the points $t = \infty, t = -5 + 3\sqrt{3}$ and $t = 0, t = -5 - 3\sqrt{3}$, from which

$$\omega^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{(5 - 3\sqrt{3})t - 2}{t + (5 - 3\sqrt{3})}. \tag{8}$$





At this stage, the ramification of $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2) \to X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3) \to X(1)$ and the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ can be determined as follows: The points lying above P_6 are $(P_6, *), (P_6, *)$, and the corresponding indices are equal to $6, 6$, respectively. The points lying above P_3' (resp. P_3) are (P_3', P_3), (P_3', P_3'), (P_3', P_3'), $(P_3', *)$, $(P_3', *)$, $(P_3', *)$ (resp. (P_3, P_3'), (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3), $(P_3, *)$, $(P_3, *)$, $(P_3, *)$), and thus the corresponding indices are equal to $1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3$ (resp. $1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3$), respectively. Then, the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points (P_3, P_3'), (P_3', P_3), the points (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3) and the points (P_3', P_3'), (P_3', P_3'), respectively. By the Hurwitz genus formula, the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ is rational. Thus, we can choose a rational coordinate x on $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_2^2)$ such that

$$t = -\frac{(5+3\sqrt{3})x^3+4}{x^3-2(5+3\sqrt{3})}, \tag{9}$$

whereby the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ interchanges the points $x = 1-\sqrt{3}, x = 1+\sqrt{3}$, the points $x = (1-\sqrt{3})\zeta_3, x = (1-\sqrt{3})\zeta_3^2$ and the points $x = (1+\sqrt{3})\zeta_3, x = (1+\sqrt{3})\zeta_3^2$, respectively. Here, ζ_3 is a cube of unity. Hence, the involution $\omega^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\omega^{(2)}(x) = -2\frac{x-1}{x+2}. \tag{10}$$



We now have all the information necessary to determine the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$. It follows by (8) (9) (10) that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{(5-3\sqrt{3})t-2}{t+(5-3\sqrt{3})} \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \omega^{(1)}\left(-\frac{(5+3\sqrt{3})x^3+4}{x^3-2(5+3\sqrt{3})}\right) = \frac{4}{x^3} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad -\frac{(5+3\sqrt{3})\omega^{(2)}(y)^3+4}{\omega^{(2)}(y)^3-2(5+3\sqrt{3})} = \frac{4}{x^3} \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \left(-2\frac{y-1}{y+2}\right)^3 = 2\frac{(5-3\sqrt{3})x^3+4}{x^3-2(5-3\sqrt{3})},\end{aligned}$$

and hence the curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^n)$ is defined by $n-1$ coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ satisfying the $n-2$ relations

$$z_{j+1}^3 = 2\frac{(5-3\sqrt{3})x_j^3+4}{x_j^3-2(5-3\sqrt{3})} \qquad (j = 1, \ldots, n-2), \qquad z_j := -2\frac{x_j-1}{x_j+2}.$$

Remark. Voight gave a list of Shimura curves of genus at most two ([V2]). It follows from Table 4.2 in [V2] that our Shimura curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ corresponds to a group Γ_1 of signature $(0; 3, 3, 3, 3)$, and our Shimura curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}_3^2)$ corresponds to a group Γ_2 of signature $(0; 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3)$. In fact, Γ_1 has exactly four elliptic points (P_3, P_6), (P_3', P_6), (P_6, P_3), (P_6, P_3') of order $3, 3, 3, 3$, respectively, and Γ_2 has exactly six elliptic points (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3), (P_3, P_3'), (P_3', P_3), (P_3', P_3'), (P_3', P_3') of order $3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3$, respectively.

Remark. While the curves given by Elkies are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, ours is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. In general, ours also has a model over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Proposition 5.1.2 in [V1]). However, such a model does not perhaps have a simple equation as in our theorem.

Remark. Takeuchi gave a complete list of arithmetic triangle groups of signature $(0; e_1, e_2, e_3)$: There exist 85 such groups, of which 76 groups have no cusps (see Cases II, . . . , XIX of Table (1) in [T]). Note that Shimura curves have no cusps. Elkies constructed two Shimura towers from two groups $(0; 2, 4, 12)$ and $(0; 2, 3, 9)$, respectively ([E1], and also see Section 2). This time, we constructed a Shimura tower from the group $(0; 3, 3, 6)$ (see Section 3). I think that by using the same procedure as Elkies, explicit Shimura towers can not be constructed from the other 73 groups any longer.

References

[BB] A. Bassa and P. Beelen, Explicit equations for Drinfeld modular towers, preprint (2012).

[BBGS] A. Bassa, P. Beelen, A. Garcia and H. Stichtenoth, Towers of function fields over non-prime finite fields, Mosc. Math. J. 15 (2015), no. 1, 1-29.

[BGS] P. Beelen, A. Garcia and H. Stichtenoth, Towards a classification of recursive towers of function fields over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 12 (2006), no. 1, 56-77.

[B] K. Brander, An optimal unramified tower of function fields, Algebraic geometry and its applications, 351-365, Ser. Number Theory Appl., 5, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.

[E1] N. D. Elkies, Explicit modular towers, pages 23-32 in Proceedings of the Thirty-Fifth Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing (1997, T. Basar, A. Vardy, eds.), Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 1998 (math.NT/0103107 on the arXiv).

[E2] —, Shimura curve computations, Algorithmic number theory (Portland, OR, 1998), 1-47, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 1423, Springer, Berlin, 1998.

[E3] —, Explicit towers of Drinfeld modular curves, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), 189-198, Progr. Math., 202, Birkhauser, Basel, 2001.

[HIS] T. Hasegawa, M. Inuzuka and T. Suzuki, Towers of function fields over finite fields corresponding to elliptic modular curves, Finite Fields and their Applications 18 (2012), no. 1, 1-18.

[H] T. Hasegawa, Remarks on a Paper by Maharaj and Wulftange, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 217 (2013), no. 1, 1-10.

[Smz] H. Shimizu, On zeta functions of quaternion algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 1965 166-193.

[Smr] G. Shimura, Construction of class fields and zeta functions of algebraic curves, Ann. of Math. (2) 85 1967 58-159.

[T] K. Takeuchi, Commensurability classes of arithmetic triangle groups, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 24 (1977), no. 1, 201-212.

[TVZ] M. A. Tsfasman, S. G. Vladut and Th. Zink, Modular curves, Shimura curves, and Goppa codes, better than Varshamov-Gilbert bound, Math. Nachr. 109 (1982), 21-28.

[V1] J. Voight, Quadratic forms and quaternion algebras: Algorithms and arithmetic, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2005.

[V2] —, Shimura curves of genus at most two, Math. Comp. 78 (2009), no. 266, 1155-1172.

[W] J. Wulftange, On the construction of some towers over finite fields, Finite fields and applications, 154-165, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 2948, Springer, Berlin, 2004.